

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,887	04/06/20	001	Hirokatsu Fujiwara	500.39978X00	3367	
20457	7590 0	9/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, ST I SEVENTEEN	ELMORE	ELMORE, REBA I			
SUITE 1800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9	9889		2187		
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/826,887	FUJIWARA ET AL.				
, and y , leaven	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reba I. Elmore	2187				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final of the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(\simega\) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: the addition of the term 'dynamically' has	not been previously considered or	searched.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	Fplace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-5,7,8,10 and 11</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 6 and 9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· /	, ,			
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet		01- 1				

REBA I. ELMORE PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 10. Other: The April 6, 2001 Information Disclosure Statement is not a paper of record in the application. The copy Applicant states was provided January 30, 2004 is also not in the application.